Chiral (iminophosphoranyl)ferrocenes: highly efficient ligands for rhodium- and iridium-catalyzed enantioselective hydrogenation of unfunctionalized olefins

Thanh Thien Co and Tae-Jeong Kim*

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 26th May 2006, Accepted 27th June 2006 First published as an Advance Article on the web 17th July 2006

DOI: 10.1039/b607450b

A series of chiral (iminophosphoranyl)ferrocenes (1–3) are highly efficient ligands for Rh- and Ir-catalyzed hydrogenation of a number of unfunctionalized olefins; almost perfect enantiomeric excesses (up to 99% ee) have been achieved under mild reaction conditions.

Homogeneous asymmmetric catalytic hydrogenation is one of the most widely studied class of organometallic reactions. In particular, hydrogenation of chelating olefins with chiral ruthenium or rhodium catalysts has been most thoroughly examined, and thus has reached a very high level of development. 1c-e,2 Nevertheless, there still remain a great body of olefin substrates that resist high enantioselection by conventional Ru- and Rhphosphine type catalysts.³ Unfunctionalized olefins belong to this class of substrates. Recently, however, a breakthrough has been made by Pfaltz and co-workers who have demonstrated that iridium complexes of oxazoline-based ligands can catalyze a variety of unfunctionalized olefins with very high enantioselectivities (up to 99% ee).4 Buchwald and co-workers had earlier reported the use of Brintzinger's chiral titanocene complexes as catalysts for the hydrogenation of unfunctionalized tri- and tetrasubstituted olefins with high % ees, yet with low activity as well as a high catalyst loading.5 The work of Pfaltz has spurred renewed interest in the hydrogenation of largely unfunctionalized alkenes including dienes.6

We have recently reported the synthesis of chiral ferrocenebased iminophosphoranes (1–3, Chart 1) and demonstrated that they can serve as a new class of practical ligands for Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation of allyl acetates and Rh-catalyzed hydrogenation of olefins.⁷ In particular, these new ligands exhibit exceptionally high enantioselectivity (up to 99% ee) and catalytic activity in

$$\begin{array}{c} CH(Me)R & CH(Me)R & CH(Me)N=PPh_3 \\ \hline PPh_2 & Fe \\ \hline P(Ph)_2=N-Ar & Fe \\ \hline 1a, b & 2a-c & 3 \\ \hline a: R=NMe_2; Ar=2,6-Me_2-C_6H_3 \\ b: R=NMe_2; Ar=2,6-Pr_2-C_6H_3 \\ c: R=OMe; Ar=2,6-Me_2-C_6H_3 \\ \hline Chart 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Department of Applied Chemistry, College of Engineering, Kyungpook National University, Taegu, 702-701, Korea. E-mail: tjkim@knu.ac.kr; Fax: 82 53 950 6594 the Rh-catalyzed hydrogenation of functionalized olefins such as (E)-methylcinnamic acid, (Z)-2-acetamidocinnamate and (Z)-2-acetamidoacrylate. Encouraged by these observations and motivated by our continuing effort in the design and application of new ferrocene-based ligands for use in asymmetric catalysis, ⁸ we have prepared cationic Rh(I)- and Ir(I)-complexes of the type [M(L)(COD)]BF₄ (L = 2, 3; M = Rh, Ir) (Chart 2) to employ as catalysts in the hydrogenation of various unfunctionalized olefins (eqn (1)).

Alkene
$$\frac{[M(L)(COD)]BF_4 (2 \text{ mol } \%)}{L = 2-3}$$

$$H_2, CH_2Cl_2 \text{ or MeOH, 24 h}$$
Alkane (1)

We anticipated that these compounds should act as tightly binding chelates and thus would be capable of stabilizing metal centers involved in catalytic cycles, even in rather low oxidation states.^{9,10} Furthermore, as sterically demanding and robust chelates, they are supposed to accomplish higher asymmetric induction.

In the first set of experiments to benchmark the potential of our ligands, we performed Ir- and Rh-catalyzed hydrogenation of trisubstituted alkenes such as trans-α-methylstilbene (4), ethyl trans-β-methylcinnamate (5), trans-2-methyl-3-phenyl-2-propen-1ol (6) and 6-methoxy-1-methyl-3,4-dihydronaphthalene (7). Hydrogenation was conducted at ambient temperature under a H₂ pressure of 10 bar in the presence of 2 mol% of catalysts.† Indeed the results are remarkable in that exceptionally high enantioselectivities (up to 99% ee) are achieved regardless of the types of ligands or metals (Table 1). The most characteristic feature of Table 1 is the demonstration that it is for the first time for the Rh-catalyzed hydrogenation of unfunctionalized trisubstituted olefins to accomplish such high enantioselectivities under such very mild reaction conditions. These results may well be compared with those obtained with Pfaltz's Ir-systems. 6 In our hands, as far as chemical yields are concerned, rhodium complexes serve better than the iridium analogues (Table 1). Of two ligands 2 and 3, the former works better both in terms of enantioselectivity and the

Chart 2

Table 1 Asymmetric hydrogenation of trisubstituted olefins^a

| - | | | Ir | | Rh | |
|-------|--------------------|----------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Entry | Substrate | Ligand | Yield ^b (%) | ee ^c (%) | Yield ^b (%) | ee ^c (%) |
| 1 | | (S,R)-2a | 71 | 91 | 100 | 69 |
| 2 | | (S,R)-2b | 69 | 82 | 100 | 58 |
| 3 | | (S,R)-2c | 100 | 70 | 100 | 49 |
| 4 | | (S,R)-3 | 100 | 74 | 98 | 46 |
| 5 | CO ₂ Et | (S,R)-2a | 98 | 91 | 100 | 86 |
| 6 | | (S,R)-2b | 68 | 89 | 100 | 99 |
| 7 | | (S,R)-2c | 74 | 79 | 100 | 86 |
| 8 | | (S,R)-3 | 52 | 88 | 90 | 56 |
| 9 | OH | (S,R)-2a | 99 | 96 | 99 | 91 |
| 10 | | (S,R)-2b | 50 | 91 | 100 | 95 |
| 11 | | (S,R)-2c | 73 | 90 | 99 | 86 |
| 12 | | (S,R)-3 | 88 | 92 | 100 | 59 |
| 13 | MeO 7 | (S,R)-2a | 90 | 97 | 92 | 76 |
| 14 | | (S,R)-2b | 49 | 92 | 100 | 97 |
| 15 | | (S,R)-2c | 91 | 76 | 100 | 55 |

^a Reaction conditions: Catalyst precursor = $[M(COD)_2]BF_4$; $P(H_2) = 10$ bar; at room temperature; reaction time = 24 h; solvent = CH_2CI_2 (Ir); MeOH (Rh). ^b GC yield. ^c Determined by chiral capillary GC on a Chiralsil-Val column (25 m) and the product configuration by comparison with the literature values; R in all cases.

chemical yield. A generalized trend is not clearly observed among the same series **2a–c**, although **2c** seems to be the least effective in both Rh- and Ir-catalyses. The steric bulkiness of the arylimine (=N–Ar) also seems to play a minor role on yields and % ees as deduced from Table 1. Finally, decrease in the temperature below room temperature drastically reduces overall chemical yields although enantioselectivities rise a little in most cases.

Encouraged by the results shown in Table 1, we further pursued the asymmetric hydrogenation of 1,1-disubstituted alkenes such as 6-methoxy-1-methylene-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene (8) and 2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-butene (9). Although one might expect facile conversion with this class of substrates as compared with sterically more hindered tri- or tetrasubstituted analogues, high asymmetric induction can hardly be anticipated due in part to the conformational freedom in the metal-olefin complex intermediates. The results in Table 2 seem to be consonant at least partially with this statement. Under the standard set of reaction conditions, the conversions are quantitative with Ir-catalysts with the degree of enantioselectivity depending on the nature of substrates or the ligands. Of four ligands employed, 2b is the most powerful, giving 88 and 90% ee for the hydrogenation of 8 and 9, respectively (entries 2 and 6). These results also compare well with the highest optical yield of 97% ee obtained with substrate 9.6c Even more impressive are the very high % ees with Rh-catalysis reaching as high as 97% ee, although chemical yields are a little low in some cases (entries 2, 3 and 7). Yet the results are significant enough, in

Table 2 Asymmetric hydrogenation of disubstituted olefins^a

| | Substrate | Ligand | Ir | | Rh | |
|-------|-----------|----------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Entry | | | Yield ^b (%) | ee ^c (%) | Yield ^b (%) | ee ^c (%) |
| 1 | MeO 8 | (S,R)-2a | 99 | 72 | 61 | 93 |
| 2 | | (S,R)-2b | 99 | 88 | 7 | 94 |
| 3 | | (S,R)-2c | 100 | 17 | 10 | 33 |
| 4 | | (S,R)-3 | 99 | 48 | 78 | 50 |
| 5 | MeO 9 | (S,R)-2a | 100 | 78 | 99 | 95 |
| 6 | | (S,R)-2b | 99 | 90 | 39 | 97 |
| 7 | | (S,R)-2c | 100 | 52 | — | — |
| 8 | | (S,R)-3 | 100 | 80 | 99 | 69 |

^a Same reaction conditions as in Table 1. ^b GC yield. ^c Determined by chiral capillary GC on a Chiralsil-Val column (25 m) and the product configuration by comparison with the literature values; *R* in all cases

that there are only a limited numbers of reports dealing with the Rh-catalyzed hydrogenation of disubstituted olefins, with enantioselectivity of 82% at best. 11

We have further extended our investigation to the hydrogenation of tetrasubstituted olefins. They constitute an intriguing class of substrates in that they can potentially generate two adjacent chiral centers in one step. ^{6a} At the same time, they are generally the least reactive class of olefins in hydrogenation reactions; steric bulk hinders their ability to bind to most transition metal complexes. Understandably, there have appeared only two reports dealing with this class of olefins. 4a,5 Table 3 shows the results of asymmetric hydrogenations of 2-(4'-methoxyphenyl)-3-methylbut-2-ene (10) and 2,3-dimethyl-1H-indene (11). Expectedly chemical yields are rather lower than those obtained with other classes of substrates with the highest reaching 87% in the hydrogenation of 11 with 3a (entry 7). The highest enantioselectivity of 88% ee is achieved with **2b** in the hydrogenation of **10**, yet with a low yield of 21% (entry 2). Again, an attempt to increase ee%'s by lowering the reaction temperature to RT was frustrated in that conversion is very slow. It is reported that an

Table 3 Asymmetric hydrogenation of tetrasubstituted olefins^a

| Entry | Substrate | Ligand | Yield ^b (%) | ee ^c (%) |
|------------------|-----------|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 2 3 4 | MeO 10 | (S,R)-2a (S,R)-2b (S,R)-2c (S,R)-3 | 54 21 56 41 | 52 88 76 64 |
| 5 6 7 | Me Me | (S,R)-2a (S,R)-2b (S,R)-3 | 72 64 87 | 53 83 59 |

^a Reaction conditions: Catalyst precursor = $[Ir(COD)_2]BF_4$; $P(H_2) = 10$ bar; solvent = MeOH; at 45 °C; reaction time = 24 h. ^b GC yield. ^c Determined by chiral capillary GC on a Chiralsil-Val column (25 m).

Ir-phosphanodihydrooxazole catalyst gives 81% ee (>99% yield) from the hydrogenation of 10, 4a and that the (EBTHI)ZrMe₂ system gives 93% ee (86% yield). 5 All-in-all our ligands do not show any promise for practical applications to the tetrasubstituted olefins.

In summary, we have demonstrated that both cationic Ir- and Rh-complexes of chiral (iminophosphoranyl)ferrocenes are very powerful catalysts for asymmetric hydrogenation of a series of unfunctionalized di- and trisubstituted olefins. Also notable is that in some cases rhodium complexes may serve as even better practical catalysts than their iridium counterparts.

This work was supported by KOSEF (Grant No. R01-2004-000-10602-0). Spectral measurements were performed by the KBSI.

Notes and references

- † Typical procedure for asymmetric hydrogenation is as follows: A solution of 0.5 mmol substrate and 2 mol% of pre-catalyst in 5 mL of CH₂Cl₂ (for Ir-catalysis) or MeOH (for Rh-catalysis) was stirred in an autoclave under 10 bar of hydrogen pressure for 24 h at RT. Work-up consisted of releasing the gas, evaporating the solvent, followed by extraction of the hydrogenated product with 3 mL of heptane (HPLC quality). The solution was applied directly to GC or HPLC for chemical and optical yield measurements.
- 1 (a) J. Halpern, in Asymmetric Synthesis, ed. J. D. Morrison, Academic Press, New York, 1985, vol. 5, p. 41; (b) E. Koenig, in Asymmetric Synthesis, ed. J. D. Morrison, Academic Press, New York, 1985, vol. 5, p. 71; (c) T. Ohkuma, M. Kitamura and R. Noyori, in Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis, ed. I. Ojima, VCH, Weinheim, 2nd edn, 2000, p. 1; (d) J. M. Brown, in Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis, ed. E. N. Jacobson, A. Faltz and H. Yamamoto, Springer, New York, 1999, p. 121; (e) W. Tang and X. Zhang, Chem. Rev., 2003, 103, 3029
- 2 (a) R. Noyori, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 2008; (b) W. S. Knowles, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 1998.
- 3 R. L. Halterman, in *Comprehensive Asymmtric Catalysis*, ed. E. N. Jacobson, A. Paltz and H. Yamamoto, Springer, New York, 1999, p. 183.

- A. Lightfoot, P. Schnider and A. Pfaltz, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1998, 37, 2897; (b) F. Menges and A. Pfaltz, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2002, 344, 40; (c) P. G. Cozzi, N. Zimmermann, R. Hilgraf and S. Schaffner, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2002, 344, 450; (d) D. G. Blackmond, A. Lightfoot, A. Pfaltz, T. Rosner, P. Schnider and N. Zimmermann, Chirality, 2000, 12, 442; (e) S. McIntyre, E. Hörmann, F. Menges, S. P. Smidt and A. Pfaltz, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2005, 347, 282; (f) S. Bell, B. Wustenberg, S. Kaiser, F. Menges, T. Netscher and A. Pfaltz, Science, 2006, 311, 642.
- 5 M. V. Troutman, D. H. Appella and S. L. Buchwald, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 4916.
- 6 (a) X. Cui and K. Burgess, Chem. Rev., 2005, 105, 3272; (b) X. Cui, J. W. Ogle and K. Burgess, Chem. Commun., 2005, 672; (c) K. Källström, C. Hedberg, P. Brandt, A. Bayer and P. G. Andersson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 14308; (d) K. Källström, I. Munslow and P. G. Andersson, Chem. Eur. J., 2006, 12, 3194.
- 7 (a) T. T. Co, S. C. Shim, C. S. Cho, T.-J. Kim, S. O. Kang, W.-S. Han, J. Ko and C.-K. Kim, *Organometallics*, 2005, **24**, 4824; (b) T. T. Co, S. C. Shim, C. S. Cho, D.-U. Kim and T.-J. Kim, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **26**, 1359.
- 8 (a) T. T. Co, S. W. Paek, S. C. Shim, C. S. Cho, T.-J. Kim, D. W. Choi,
 S. O. Kang and J. H. Jeong, *Organometallics*, 2003, 22, 1475; (b)
 S. H. Paek, S. C. Shim, C. S. Cho and T.-J. Kim, *Synlett*, 2003, 849; (c)
 G.-H. Whang, E.-S. Ryu, D.-K. Park, S. C. Shim, C. S. Cho and
 T.-J. Kim, *Organometallics*, 2001, 20, 5784; (d) J.-H. Song, D.-J. Cho,
 S.-J. Jeon, Y. H. Kim and T.-J. Kim, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1999, 38, 893.
- 9 (a) L. Boubekeur, L. Ricard, N. Mézailles and P. L. Floch, Organometallics, 2005, 24, 1065; (b) L. Boubekeur, L. Ricard, N. Mézailles, M. Demange, A. Auffrant and P. L. Floch, Organometallics, 2006, 25, 3091.
- 10 (a) K. Kubo, H. Nakazawa, H. Inagaki and K. Miyoshi, Organometallics, 2002, 21, 1942; (b) J. Vicente, J.-A. Abad, R. Clemente, J. López-Serrano, M. C. R. de Arellano, P. G. Jones and D. Bautista, Organometallics, 2003, 22, 4248; (c) M. A. Leeson, B. K. Nicholson and M. R. Olsen, J. Organomet. Chem., 1999, 579, 243; (d) M. W. Avis, M. E. van der Boom, C. J. Elsevier, W. J. Smeets and A. L. Spek, J. Organomet. Chem., 1997, 527, 263; (e) M. W. Avis, M. Goosen, C. J. Elsevier, N. Veldman, H. Kooijman and A. L. Spek, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 1997, 264, 43; (f) L. Boubekeur, S. Ulmer, L. Ricard, N. Mézailles and P. L. Floch, Organometallics, 2006, 25, 315.
- (a) T. Hayashi, M. Tanaka and I. Ogata, Tetrahedron Lett., 1977, 295;
 (b) M. Tanaka and I. Ogata, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1975, 735;
 (c) T. Ohta, H. Ikegami, T. Miyake and H. Takaya, J. Organomet. Chem., 1995, 502, 169.